

**United States Court of Appeals**

Eleventh Circuit  
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Thomas K. Kahn  
Clerk

RECEIVED IN CLERK'S OFFICE  
U.S.D.C. - Atlanta

DEC 11 2007

JAMES N. HATTEN, clerk

December 10, 2007

For rules and forms visit  
[www.call.uscourts.gov](http://www.call.uscourts.gov)

James N. Hatten  
Clerk, U.S. District Court  
75 SPRING ST SW STE 2211  
ATLANTA GA 30303-3318

**Appeal Number: 07-15205-D**

Case Style: LaSalle Bank Nat'l Assoc. v. Rodney Richardson  
District Court Number: 07-02482 CV-BBM-1

The enclosed certified copy of this Court's order dismissing the appeal for lack of jurisdiction is issued as the mandate of this court. See 11th Cir. R. 40-4 and 11th Cir. R. 41-4.

The district court clerk is requested to acknowledge receipt on the copy of this letter enclosed to the clerk.

Sincerely,

THOMAS K. KAHN, Clerk

Reply To: Nancy Holbrook (404) 335-6183

Encl.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 07-15205-D

FILED  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS  
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

DEC 10 2007

THOMAS K. KAHN  
CLERK

LASALLE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff-Appellee, **FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE**  
U.S.D.C. Atlanta

versus

RODNEY RICHARDSON,

DEC 11 2007  
JAMES N. HATTEN, CLERK  
By: *[Signature]* Deputy Clerk  
Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Northern District of Georgia

A True Copy - ~~dated~~  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals,  
Eleventh Circuit

Before TJOFAT, BLACK, and HULL, Circuit Judges.

*[Signature]*  
Deputy Clerk  
Atlanta, Georgia

BY THE COURT:

This appeal is DISMISSED, sua sponte, for lack of jurisdiction. The district court's October 17, 2007, order remanding the action to state court is not reviewable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c) & (d); Thermtron Prods., Inc. v. Hermansdorfer, 423 U.S. 336, 342-43, 96 S.Ct. 584, 589, 46 L.Ed.2d 542 (1976), abrogated on other grounds, Quackenbush v. Allstate Ins. Co., 517 U.S. 706, 116 S.Ct. 1712, 135 L.Ed.2d 1 (1996); Whole Health Chiropractic & Wellness, Inc. v. Humana Med. Plan, Inc., 254 F.3d 1317, 1319 (11th Cir. 2001).

No motion for reconsideration may be filed unless it complies with the timing and other requirements of 11th Cir.R. 40-4 and all other applicable rules.